

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/624,246	CLARKE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Carlos Lopez	1731	

All Participants:

(1) Carlos Lopez.

(2) Robert Gnuse.

Date of Interview: 17 October 2003

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 10am

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Rejection of claim 1 over under 35 USC 102 (b) over OTTO (DE 3403281)

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

OTTO (DE 3403281)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature) _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) _____

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was noted to Applicant's representative that the claimed filter material of the filtering sheath as claimed did not overcome the pending rejection. It was agreed upon to include "selected from tow or sheet of cellulose fiber" to thus distinguish the filtering sheath as a being made of a different material other than paper as disclosed in the prior art.